

UNITED S. ES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Frademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

18/900 man	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
_08/108/1892 ==2	: 08/08/9/ · R	OE	D 5494CR
,	•	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
024967	. *	QZ11/0323	EXAMINER
KIRSTEN K			D. Pellin
	R & GAMBLE COMP DS TECHNICAL CE		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER
11450 GROC CINCINNATI	MS RD, BOX B-22	3	761 3761 25
	INTERV	DATE /IEW SUMMARY	MAILED: 09/23/
			•
participants (applicant, applicant's		el):	
Vennis Ruhl		(3)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Kirsten Stone	·	(4)	
te of Interview $3/21/6$	01	<u> </u>	
		applicant's representative).	
	/		
ibit shown or demonstration cond	ducted: Yes Yo If yes,	brief description:	
reement was reached. Was immediately was reached.	is not reached.		
	Dinear and Bu	who los	
		(09)70.	•
nuncation of prior art discussed:_			
			1
		nent was reached, or any other comm	ents: Discussed Isus the
scription of the general nature of v	what was agreed to if an agreer	nent was reached, or any other comm	ents: Discussed Isus the
scription of the general nature of v	what was agreed to if an agreer	ochin under 35 usc 1	112,2. Also discussed
excription of the general nature of vit office action and	what was agreed to if an agreer	ochin under 35 usc 1	ents: Discussed Isus the 112,2. Also discussed Howable Claim lange
excription of the general nature of vit office action and	what was agreed to if an agreer	ochin under 35 usc 1	112,2. Also discussed
scription of the general nature of vest office action and	what was agreed to if an agreer	ochin under 35 usc 1	ents: Discussed Isus the 112,2. Also discussed Howable claim lange
scription of the general nature of vertice a chon and so as proposed amended as rached.	what was agreed to if an agreer Specifically fie sej Claim language, d a copy of the amendments, if	ochin under 35 usc 1	112, 2. Also discussed Ilouable Claim langu
as proposed arended as rached. fuller description, if necessary, and steed.	what was agreed to if an agreer Specifically He left Claim language, d a copy of the amendments, if why of the amendments which we	No agreement on a available, which the examiner agreed rould render the claims allowable is av	112,2. Also discussed Ilouable Claim langu
scription of the general nature of variety of the action and and and and and and and and and an	what was agreed to if an agreer Specifically fie 10) Claim language, d a copy of the amendments, if appy of the amendments which we to provide a separate record of the checked to indicate to the co DE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE	No agreement on a available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable is avoid the substance of the interview.	would render the claims allowable ailable, a summary thereof must be
scription of the general nature of variety of the action and and and and and and and and and an	what was agreed to if an agreer Specifically fie left Claim language, d a copy of the amendments, if the provide a separate record of the checked to indicate to the co DE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE LICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONT Is summary above (including any at may be present in the last Of the last Of	Available, which the examiner agreed yould render the claims allowable is avoid the substance of the interview. Intrary. A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPO	would render the claims allowable allable, a summary thereof must be of the AST OFFICE ACTION 3.04). If a response to the last Office of FILE A STATEMENT OF THE poinse to each of the objections, ow allowable, this completed form
scription of the general nature of variety of the action And and a structured and structured and structured. Solve a chon And and a structured and structur	what was agreed to if an agreer of the Ich ally He Ich ally He Ich and a copy of the amendments, if the provide a separate record of the copy of the amendments which we are to provide a separate to the copy of the SUBSTANCE OF THE LICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONT of summary above (including any at may be present in the last Of the last Of the is also checked.	available, which the examiner agreed rould render the claims allowable is avoid the substance of the interview. Intrary. A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPO INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 71 H FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO by attachments) reflects a complete resulting action, and since the claims are inflice action. Applicant is not relieved from the control of the control of the claims are infliced.	would render the claims allowable allable, a summary thereof must be of the AST OFFICE ACTION 3.04). If a response to the last Office of FILE A STATEMENT OF THE poinse to each of the objections, ow allowable, this completed form

Manual of Patent Examining Procedure, Section 713.04 Substance of Interview must Be Made of Record

A complete written statement as to the substance of any face-to-face or telephone interview with regard to an application must be made of record in the application, whether or not an agreement with the examiner was reached at the interview.

§1.133 Interviews

(b) In every instance where reconsideration is requested in view of an interview with an examiner, a complete written statement of the reasons presented at the interview as warranting favorable action must be <u>filed</u> by the applicant. An interview does not remove the necessity for response to Office action as specified in §§ 1.111,1.135. (35 U.S.C.132)

§ 1.2. Business to be transacted in writing. All business with the Patent or Trademark Office should be transacted in writing. The personal attendance of applicants or their attorneys or agents at the Patent and Trademark Office is unnecessary. The action of the Patent and Trademark Office will be based exclusively on the written record in the Office. No attention will be paid to any alleged oral promise, stipulation, or understanding in relation to which there is disagreement or doubt.

The action of the Patent and Trademark Office cannot be based exclusively on the written record in the Office if that record is itself incomplete through the failure to record the substance of interviews.

It is the responsibility of the applicant or the attorney or agent to make the substance of an interview of record in the application file, unless the examiner indicates he or she will do so. It is the examiner's responsibility to see that such a record is made and to correct material inaccuracies which bear directly on the question of patentability.

Examiners must complete a two-sheet carbon interleaf Interview Summary Form for each interview held after January 1, 1978 where a matter of substance has been discussed during the interview by checking the appropriate boxes and filling in the blanks in neat handwritten form using a ball point pen. Discussions regarding only procedural matters, directed solely to restriction requirements for which interview recordation is otherwise provided for in Section 812.01 of the Manual of Patent Examining Procedure, or pointing out typographical errors or unreadable script in Office actions or the like, are excluded from the interview recordation procedures below.

The Interview Summary Form shall be given an appropriate paper number, placed in the right hand portion of the file, and listed on the "Contents" list on the file wrapper. The docket and serial register cards need not be updated to reflect interviews. In a personal interview, the duplicate copy of the Form is removed and given to the applicant (or attorney or agent) at the conclusion of the interview. In the case of a telephonic interview, the copy is mailed to the applicant's correspondence address either with or prior to the next official communication. If additional correspondence from the examiner is not likely before an allowance or if other circumstances dictate, the Form should be mailed promptly after the telephonic interview rather than with the next official communication.

The Form provides for recordation of the following information:

- -Serial Number of the application
- Name of applicant
- Name of examiner
- Date of interview
- Type of interview (personal or telephonic)
- -Name of participant(s)) (applicant, attorney or agent, etc.)
- An indication whether or not an exhibit was shown or a demonstration conducted
- -An identification of the claims discussed
- -An identification of the specific prior art discussed
- An indication whether an agreement was reached and if so, a description of the general nature of the agreement (may be by attachment of a copy
 of amendments or claims agreed as being allowable). (Agreements as to allowability are tentative and do not restrict further action by the examiner to the
 contrary.)
- -The signature of the examiner who conducted the interview
- -Names of other Patent and Trademark Office personnel present.

The Form also contains a statement reminding the applicant of his responsibility to record the substance of the interview.

It is desireable that the examiner orally remind the applicant of his obligation to record the substance of the interview in each case unless both applicant and examiner agree that the examiner will record same. Where the examiner agrees to record the substance of the interview, or when it is adequately recorded on the Form or in an attachment to the Form, the examiner should check a box at the bottom of the Form informing the applicant that he need not supplement the Form by submitting a separate record of the substance of the interview.

It should be noted, however, that the Interview Summary Form witl not normally be considered a complete and proper recordation of the interview unless it includes, or is supplemented by the applicant or the examiner to include, all of the applicable items required below concerning the substance of the interview:

A complete and proper recordation of the substance of any interview should include at least the following applicable items:

- 1) A brief description of the nature of any exhibit shown or any demonstration conducted,
- 2) an identification of the claims discussed,
- 3) an identification of specific prior art discussed,
- 4) an identification of the principal proposed amendments of a substantive nature discussed, unless these are already described on the Interview Summary Form completed by the examiner,
- 5) a brief identification of the general thrust of the principal arguments presented to the examiner. The identification of arguments need not be lengthy or elaborate. A verbatim or highly detailed description of the arguments is not required. The identification of the arguments is sufficient if the general nature or thrust of the principal arguments made to the examiner can be understood in the context of the application file. Of course, the applicant may desire to emphasize and fully describe those arguments which he feels were or might be persuasive to the examiner.
- 6) a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed, and
- 7) if appropriate, the general results or outcome of the interview unless already described in the Interview Stimmary Form completed by the examiner.

Examiners are expected to carefully review the applicant's record of the substance of an interview. If the record is not complete or accurate, the examiner will give the applicant one month from the date of the notifying letter or the remainder of any period for response, whichever is longer, to complete the response and thereby avoid abandonment of the application (37 CFR 1.135(c)).

Examiner to Check for Accuracy

Applicant's summary of what took place at the interview should be carefully checked to determine the accuracy of any argument or statement attributed to the examiner during the interview. If there is an inaccuracy and it bears directly on the question of patentability, it should be pointed out in the next Office tetter. If the claims are allowable for other reasons of record, the examiner should send a letter setting forth his or her version of the statement attributed to him. If the record is complete and accurate, the examiner should place the indication "Interview record OK" on the paper recording the substance of the interview along with the date and the examiner's initials.